Application No. 10/629,563 Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application, as presently amended and in light of the

following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-5 are currently pending. Claims 6-11 have been canceled without prejudice

by the present amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 6-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as

claiming the same invention as Claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,625,427 to Kayano et al.

(hereinafter "the '427 patent"); Claims 1-5 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine

of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-6 of the '427

patent; and Claims 1-11 were indicated as allowable if rewritten to overcome the double

patenting rejections.

Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of Claims 6-11 under 35 U.S.C. §

101 are rendered moot by the present cancellation of those claims.

Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of Claims 1-5 are rendered moot by

the Terminal Disclaimer submitted herewith.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the outstanding grounds for rejection have been

overcome and that Claims 1-5 are in condition for formal allowance. An early and favorable

action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000

Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 06/04)

EHK/KMB/law

I:\ATTY\KMB\240's\240399US\240399US-AF.DOC

Kurt M. Berger, Ph.D. Registration No. 51,461

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 28,870

4